Hummingbird pollination and the diversification of angiosperms: an old and successful association in Gesneriaceae
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The effects of specific functional groups of pollinators in the diversification of angiosperms are still to be elucidated. We investigated whether the pollination shifts or the specific association with hummingbirds affected the diversification of a highly diverse angiosperm lineage in the Neotropics. We reconstructed a phylogeny of 583 species from the Gesneriaceae family and detected diversification shifts through time, inferred the timing and amount of transitions among pollinator functional groups, and tested the association between hummingbird pollination and speciation and extinction rates. We identified a high frequency of pollinator transitions, including reversals to insect pollination. Diversification rates of the group increased through time since 25 Ma, coinciding with the evolution of hummingbird-adapted flowers and the arrival of hummingbirds in South America. We showed that plants pollinated by hummingbirds have a twofold higher speciation rate compared with plants pollinated by insects, and that transitions among functional groups of pollinators had little impact on the diversification process. We demonstrated that floral specialization on hummingbirds for pollination has triggered rapid diversification in the Gesneriaceae family since the Early Miocene, and that it represents one of the oldest identified plant–hummingbird associations. Biotic drivers of plant diversification in the Neotropics could be more related to this specific type of pollinator (hummingbirds) than to shifts among different functional groups of pollinators.

1. Introduction

The current species richness of a group of organisms results from the diversification process that has occurred throughout its evolution. In plants, a variety of intrinsic and extrinsic factors affect the diversification process [1]. Among those factors, changes in climatic conditions [2], the colonization of new geographical areas [3] or the evolution of particular traits might create new possibilities for species diversification [4,5]. In angiosperms, traits such as biotic pollination, floral symmetry and nectar spurs, which are all related to specialized pollination and the ability to generate reproductive isolation, have been proposed as key innovations due to their positive effects on diversification [6,7]. The role of specialized biotic pollination in the diversification of angiosperms is a long-standing question [8], but the mechanisms that led to the apparent association between pollination and species richness are still rather unexplored [9].

One hypothesis is that diversification in angiosperms has been enhanced by the effect of pollinator specialization on reproductive isolation. Spatial and temporal differences in the availability of the most effective pollinator across the species range could produce pollinator shifts, floral divergence, reproductive isolation and, ultimately, speciation in plants [10]. Evidence for pollinator-shift effects in plant speciation have been found for Costus [11], Gladiolus [12] and...
pollinators, rather than with pollinator shifts in angiosperms [14]. Although these results suggest that frequent pollination shifts have occurred during the speciation events in angiosperms, a large proportion of these events could still occur within specific pollination systems. Indeed, an alternative hypothesis proposes that diversification rates in angiosperms increase with specialization on certain guilds of pollinators, rather than with pollinator shifts per se [12]. For example, vertebrate pollination, and in particular pollination mediated by birds, is associated with plant species richness in various clades [15,16]. The evaluation of the role of ornithophily in the diversification of the whole Gesneriaceae family has recently indicated distinct patterns between Old and New World lineages [17]. The evolution of bird pollination (specifically hummingbird pollination) was associated with an increase in diversification rates in the New World, while no influence was detected for the lineages in the Old World. However, a necessary step to further understand the effects of hummingbird pollination in the New World plant diversity is to evaluate whether the frequent shifts among pollinator groups or the specialization on hummingbird pollination is influencing plant diversification [18]. Surprisingly, the relative contribution of these two processes remains unexplored.

The aim of this study is to evaluate the tempo of evolution of functional groups of pollinators, in particular hummingbirds, and their impact on the diversification rates of the Neotropical lineage of the family Gesneriaceae, hereafter referred to as Gesnerioideae, which is the lineage of this family with hummingbird interactions. Specifically, by expanding the most recent phylogenetic sampling by 129 species, we conducted an accurate evaluation of the pollination syndromes, their evolution and their impact in diversification rate shifts for the subfamily. The Gesnerioideae is a clade of herbaceous plants, shrubs or more rarely small trees. It contains 75 genera and over 1200 species found exclusively in the Neotropics, with the exception of few Southwest Pacific taxa in the tribe Coromandelieae [19,20]. Molecular dating and biogeographic reconstructions have estimated an origin of the Gesnerioideae in South America during the Early Oligocene, with a rapid range expansion into most Neotropical regions [21]. The species in this subfamily exhibit a large diversity of floral morphology associated with repeated adaptations to different pollinators, such as hummingbirds, bees and bats [22–27] (figure 1). Therefore, this clade is particularly interesting to test the mode and tempo with which plant–pollinator interactions have evolved and how they have influenced species diversification.

Here, we reconstructed one of the largest species-level phylogenies for a group of Neotropical plants based on four DNA loci and a wide sampling of Gesnerioideae species to test for temporal variations and trait-dependent rates of diversification at a continental scale. We specifically investigated (i) the number and timing of transitions among pollination syndromes in the subfamily, and (ii) the temporal match between the evolution of hummingbird pollination and hummingbird diversification in South America [28]. Additionally, we tested (iii) whether the evolution of hummingbird pollination has contributed to the elevated Gesnerioideae diversity in Neotropics, and (iv) whether diversification rates were associated with recurrent shifts of pollinators or the observed species richness was driven specifically by hummingbird-mediated pollination. Addressing these questions in such a large and diverse group of plants will contribute to a better understanding of how ecological factors shape current patterns of species richness in the Neotropics [15].

2. Material and methods

(a) Taxonomic sampling and DNA sequencing
Our taxonomic sampling consisted of 583 species representing all the 75 recognized genera in Gesnerioideae and about 50% of the species in the subfamily [20]. The sampling of each tribe and outgroups is detailed in the electronic supplementary material, methods S1. A total of 475 sequences were amplified from field samples for this study (see ‘Data accessibility’ below) and merged to available Genbank sequences. Sequences were aligned using MAFFT v. 7 [29] and all sites were scored for accuracy of the alignment using Guidance [30]. We identified the best substitution model for each DNA region using the Akaike information criterion (AIC) as implemented in the phymltest function in R (ape package [31]; see details in the electronic supplementary material, methods S1).

(b) Phylogenetic reconstruction
Relationships among species were reconstructed by Bayesian inference using MrBayes v. 3.2 [32]. Data partitions and details of Bayesian inference are described in the electronic supplementary material, methods S1. Divergence times were estimated using a relaxed clock model with uncorrelated lognormal prior distribution for the rates of substitution and a birth–death prior for the age of each node as implemented in BEAST v. 1.7.0 [33]. Secondary calibration was performed by imposing priors for the divergence times for the clade containing all Gesneriaceae (including Sanango racemosum and members of the Didymocarpoideae family). MCMC settings and tree sampling are described in the electronic supplementary material, methods S1.

(c) Characterization of pollination syndromes
The predictability of pollination syndromes is largely debated [34,35]. However, a recent meta-analysis supported the concept of pollination syndromes, especially for tropical plants [36], and encouraged the use of floral characters as a proxy for pollination interactions in macro-evolutionary studies [16,17]. In Gesnerioideae, several studies combining field observations and multivariate analyses of morphometric data have demonstrated that suites of floral traits could predict specialized pollination by hummingbirds, bees and bats in Drymonia [27], Gesnerieae [25], Nematanthus and Codonanth [37], and Sinningieae [24].

To further test the validity of pollination syndromes, we assessed thoroughly the correlation among floral traits and functional groups of pollinators among the species of Gesnerioideae with documented pollination systems. An extended bibliographic search was conducted to identify all species with published information about their pollinators (electronic supplementary material, table S2). Flowers of these species were characterized using nine morphological traits reflecting their variation in size, shape and colour (electronic supplementary material, table S2). Trait values were derived from published morphometric datasets, monographic revisions and our own measurements of flowers collected in the field or in living collections, or from scaled images available on J.L.C.’s website (www.gesneriads.ua.edu). Among these traits, the degree of corolla constriction (i.e. tubular versus bell-shaped corolla) and the presence of pouched or urn-shaped corolla have been identified as key traits to discriminate hummingbird- from bee- and bat-pollinated flowers in different groups of Gesnerioideae [24,25,27,37]. Experimental results have also demonstrated the role of flower constriction and another exertion in improving the morphological fit between hummingbirds and
flowers and/or in deterring less efficient pollinators like bees [38]. We used a discriminant analysis to maximize the differences in each trait among functional groups of pollinators (i.e. hummingbirds, bats, insects and generalists), and to estimate their predictability for the identification of pollination/shape associations. We used the `lda` and `predict` functions from the R package MASS [39]. The most discriminant floral traits were then used to predict the functional groups of pollinators for the species included in the phylogeny that lack direct observation of pollinators.

In all subsequent analyses requiring binary states (see below), the bat-pollinated species (8 out of 590 species) were merged into the hummingbird pollination syndrome category. We based this...
choice on the fact that (i) hummingbirds and nectarivorous bats are both vertebrates with hovering ability; (ii) certain bat-pollinated species are generalists (pollinated also by hummingbirds during late afternoon and at dawn [25]), and (iii) according to a three-state stochastic mapping analysis, most of the bat-pollinated species in Gesnerioideae evolved recently from hummingbird-pollinated species [40] (see the electronic supplementary material, figure S5).

(d) Evolution of pollination syndromes

The study of trait evolution has largely improved by considering evolutionary time in the modelling of a trait change [41], and by including the species diversification process itself in binary-state speciation and extinction (BiSSE) models [42]. Here, we incorporated most of these improvements by jointly modelling the evolution of pollination syndromes and trait-dependent diversification rates (binary-state trait). For this, we used estimates of transition rates between hummingbird and insect pollination syndromes from the BiSSE model that decomposes the evolutionary process into state-specific speciation and extinction rates and two transition rates. We performed an ancestral state reconstruction, accounting for the influence of diversification, using the asr function from the R package diversitree [43] to estimate the marginal probability of each state at each node. This function is only available for the BiSSE model, and not the other extensions of this model, such as the cladogenetic state change speciation and extinction (ClaSSE) model used in the diversification analysis. The temporal assessment of insect and hummingbird-adapted flowers was done by mapping changes in pollination syndromes across the Gesnerioideae phylogenetic tree. We incorporated the BiSSE estimates of ancestral states into the stochastic mapping (modifying the simmap function in the R package phytools [44]; script available from ‘Data accessibility’ below) and ran 200 reconstructions on independent trees. For each stochastic mapping, we divided branch lengths into time bins of 1 Myr and recorded the number of transitions from and to hummingbird pollination syndrome in each bin. We reported the time bin at which 95% of the stochastic mappings have at least one transition event as the onset time for each type of transition. We performed an additional three-state stochastic mapping without considering trait-dependent diversification to explore the evolution among hummingbird, bat and insect pollination syndromes (see the electronic supplementary material, methods S2).

(e) Diversification analysis

First, we tested whether diversification rates were constant or varied through time using the R package TreePar [45]. The model settings are described in the electronic supplementary material, methods S3. Second, we tested a range of trait-dependent diversification models to assess correlations between evolution of pollination syndromes in Gesnerioideae and changes in speciation and extinction rates. Those models included the BiSSE [42] and ClaSSE [46] classes. These models allow us to distinguish whether diversification rates are associated with any particular pollination syndrome (i.e. BiSSE) or whether they change in response to shifts in pollination syndromes (switches between insect and hummingbird pollination syndromes, i.e. ClaSSE). We compared the BiSSE and ClaSSE models with a recently proposed trait-independent diversification model (called CID2 [47]). A binary trait was used to represent the pollination syndromes (insect as state 0; hummingbird as state 1). Sampling fraction was accounted in all trait-dependent and CID2 models as 0.53 and 0.48 for insect and hummingbird pollination, respectively. We estimated different speciation rates in BiSSE to obtain the parameters $\lambda_0$ and $\lambda_1$ for the speciation associated with insect and hummingbird pollination syndromes, respectively. For the ClaSSE model, we denoted the speciation within pollination syndromes ($\lambda_{000}$, $\lambda_{111}$), the speciation associated with a switch in trait for one of the descendant species, namely from insect to hummingbird pollination syndrome ($\lambda_{001}$, $\lambda_{110}$), and the speciation rates associated with switch in both descendant species ($\lambda_{011}$, $\lambda_{100}$). Each model also included two state-specific extinction rates ($\mu_0$, $\mu_1$) and two transition rates ($q_{01}$, $q_{10}$). We compared eight BiSSE and 13 ClaSSE models using maximum-likelihood estimates in the R package diversitree [43]. The best model was selected based on AICc, and we estimated the posterior distributions of each parameter for the best model in a Bayesian framework [5]. Priors and MCMC parameters are described in the electronic supplementary material, methods S3.

Methods associating traits and diversification should be taken with caution [48]; these issues were minimized in our dataset and analyses (see the electronic supplementary material, methods S4), rejecting that rates may vary over the tree or through time [49].

3. Results

(a) Phylogenetic reconstruction

The best models of molecular evolution were GTR + $\Gamma$ and GTR + $\Gamma$ + I for the nuclear and chloroplast DNA partition, respectively (electronic supplementary material, figure S1). The MrBayes and BEAST analyses resulted in congruent topologies. Our phylogenetic reconstruction (figure 1c) constitutes one of the largest species-level phylogenetic analysis for Neotropical plants. The topology corroborates the formal previous classifications [20], namely that Gesnerioideae comprises five tribes and 12 subtribes (posterior probabilities > 0.99; electronic supplementary material, figure S4). Relationships among tribes had a high support (posterior probabilities > 0.99), except that Titanotrichaeae, Napeantheae and Beslerieae (composed of the genera Besleria, Castanethus, Rhelia, Cremosperma, Shuaria, Anetanthus and Tylopsis) formed a clade (PP = 0.508 in the BEAST MCC tree) sister to the rest of the Gesnerioideae. The tribe Coronanthereae was sister to the Gesnerieae in agreement with prior results [19]. Five highly supported clades were resolved in the Gesnerieae, corresponding to the subtribes Gesneriinae, Gloxiniiinae, Columneinae, Sphaerorrhizinae and Ligeriinae. Generic and infrageneric relationships largely agree with previous phylogenetic results obtained for these lineages [37,50–53]. Out of 74 genera of Gesnerioideae, eight appeared non-monophyletic and are still in need of further taxonomical revision (Achimenes, Diastema, Gesneria, Mandriola, Paliavana, Phinaea, Sinningia and Vanhoutteae).

(b) Characterization and evolution of pollination syndromes

Overall, 118 species with documented pollination systems were recorded from the literature (electronic supplementary material, table S2). Among them, 82 species were pollinated by hummingbirds, 19 species pollinated by bees, three species pollinated by other insects (butterfly, diptera and moth), and seven species pollinated by bats (electronic supplementary material, table S2). Seven other species are pollinated by a mix of nocturnal and diurnal visitors (e.g. hummingbird, bat and moth). These generalist species of Gesnerioideae have so far been recorded only on the Caribbean islands in pollinator-depauperate environments [26,54]. The discriminant analyses explained a large proportion of the floral trait variability (axes 1 and 2 with a 76.35% and 22.34% of explained variance, respectively). Linear discriminant axis 1 had a positive loading for corolla tube shape and lateral compression,
and a negative loading for corolla length and the corolla width at mouth. Linear discriminant axis 2 had a positive loading for most of the traits except corolla length and tube shape (electronic supplementary material, table S3 and figure S2). The predictability of each group of functional pollinators was high (hummingbirds = 0.974, insects = 0.954, bats = 1.00, generalists = 0.66), and their separation in the morphological space was clear (figure 1b). Only five species of 118 (approx. 5%) have a group predictability lower than 0.8; these are one bee-pollinated (S. villiosa), three generalists (G. viridiflora, R. leucomallon, R. vernicosum) and one hummingbird-pollinated (P. sericiflora, a species with flower morphology related to the bat syndrome but effectively pollinated by hummingbirds [22]). The standardized coefficients of each trait determine the contribution of the respective trait to the discriminant function among the groups. Based on these values (electronic supplementary material, table S3), we selected tube shape and lobe symmetry (electronic supplementary material, figures S2 and S3) as a proxy to assign pollination syndrome for species in the phylogeny whose pollination biology is unstudied in the field. Using this approach, and the information listed in the electronic supplementary material, table S2, we inferred 351 species with hummingbird pollination syndrome, eight species pollinated by bats and 231 species with insect pollination syndrome among the 590 taxa included in our phylogenetic tree (electronic supplementary material, figures S4 and S5).

The BiSSE estimates of transition rates between pollination syndromes indicated a median rate from insect to hummingbird pollination syndrome of 0.009, and from hummingbird to insect pollination syndrome of 0.044. Our stochastic mapping showed that pollination syndromes evolved on average from insect to hummingbird 31.50 (±10.07) times. Transitions to hummingbird pollination syndromes first occurred around 18.5 Ma and then increased in frequency over time (figure 2a). These transitions were reconstructed at or near the crown of large clades of Gesnerioideae, such as Besleria, Ligeriinae, Gesneriinae, Gloxiniiinae and Columnea + Glossoloma genera (figure 1c). Reversions from hummingbird to insect pollination were highly frequent (on average 76.50 ± 18.06 times). These reversions to insect pollination started around 12.5 Ma and were mainly reconstructed on terminal branches or within clades including few species (figures 1c and 2b). Our three-state reconstruction treating bat and hummingbird syndromes separately showed that transitions to bat-pollinated flowers (all bat-pollinated species in Gesnerioideae have been observed in the field) have occurred at least seven times, since around 9.5 Ma, and mainly from hummingbird-adapted flowers (electronic supplementary material, figures S4 and S5).

(c) Diversification analysis
Our analyses of temporal shifts during the diversification of Gesnerioideae detected a single shift in diversification rate (p-value < 0.001; electronic supplementary material, figure S6) that most probably occurred around 18.5 Ma (95% confidence interval = 5.0–25.5 Ma). The mean net diversification rates were 0.067 and 0.177 Ma−1 for the periods before and after the shift, respectively. The comparison of models for trait-dependent diversification indicates that the model with the best AICc is the ClaSSE with all different speciation rates (though λ101 and λ110 constrained to be zero), extinction rates constrained to be zero and equal transition rates (table 1; see complete electronic supplementary material, table S7). We clearly rejected a trait-independent process shaping the diversification of the Gesnerioideae (CILD null model with a δAICc = 25.0 from the best model). The MCMC parameter estimates suggested that speciation rates within pollination syndromes were higher than those associated with shifts between them (figure 2c). Furthermore, species within the hummingbird
pollination syndrome have at least a twofold higher rate (mean $\lambda_{101} = 0.252 \text{ Myr}^{-1}$, 95% HPD: 0.193–0.314) than species within the insect pollination syndrome (mean $\lambda_{000} = 0.102 \text{ Myr}^{-1}$, 95% HPD: 0.071–0.133). All speciation rates associated with a shift in pollination syndrome, regardless of the direction of the shifts, are lower and close to 0.01, and thus an order of magnitude lower than the rates within pollination syndrome ($\lambda_{000} = 0.006$, $\lambda_{111} = 0.003$, $\lambda_{011} = 0.004$, $\lambda_{100} = 0.010$; figure 2c). Posterior distributions of extinction rate showed a higher extinction rate for hummingbird pollination syndrome species (mean $\mu_2 = 0.015$, 95% HPD: 0.000–0.041, and $\mu_1 = 0.027$, 95% HPD: 0.000–0.077). Transition rates between pollination syndrome states supported a higher rate of reversals to insect pollination syndrome (mean $q_{010} = 0.006$, HPD: 0.000–0.009, and mean $q_{101} = 0.023$, HPD: 0.000–0.040), and were of similar magnitude to the rates estimated by BiSSE (electronic supplementary material, table S4).

We found that diversification results are robust to the misidentification of functional groups of pollinators at the tips of the phylogenetic tree. First, the difference in rates of speciation between the two pollination syndromes ($\lambda_{000}$ and $\lambda_{111}$) is persistent if we remove the species-rich genus Columnea, which includes exclusively hummingbird pollination syndrome species (electronic supplementary material, figure S7b), and the few bat-pollinated species (electronic supplementary material, table S6). Second, the test for possible misidentification of functional groups of pollinators indicated that our estimation of speciation and extinction rates are extremely robust to up to 10% of equivocal states (for both insect and hummingbird) and that even 15% of misidentification leads to qualitatively similar results (electronic supplementary material, figures S8–S10). Finally, the simulations of traits, whose evolution is independent from the diversification process, showed that the estimated speciation rates within pollination syndromes (i.e. $\lambda_{000}$ and $\lambda_{111}$) are equal, under the null hypothesis, as well as the extinction and transition rates (electronic supplementary material, figure S7a). The effect of hummingbird pollination syndrome on diversification that we detected is thus not likely to be due to a particular shape of the phylogeny that could lead to a false detection of an association between traits and speciation [49].

### 4. Discussion

We showed that hummingbird pollination probably played a role in the diversification dynamics of Gesnerioideae in the Neotropics. Two lines of evidence support this result. First, the diversification of this subfamily increased substantially around 20 Ma. This period corresponds closely to the dating for the common ancestor of hummingbirds into South America (22.4 Ma, 95% HPD: 20.3–24.7 Ma in [28]; 24–25 Ma in [55]) and the first appearance of plant species with hummingbird pollination syndrome in the Gesnerioideae (figure 2a). Second, we clearly show that species with hummingbird pollination syndrome have higher rates of speciation compared with species with insect pollination syndrome. On the other hand, we did not find high speciation rates associated with transitions between pollinator syndromes. These results indicate that species richness in this plant group has been driven by speciation within hummingbird-pollinated lineages, without involving shifts among functional groups of pollinators, contradicting the classical pollinator-shift hypothesis [14].

### (a) Evolution of hummingbird pollination syndrome in Gesnerioideae

Our study suggests that Gesnerioideae was ancestrally pollinated by insects and that at least 31 transitions to hummingbird and bat pollination syndromes occurred during its evolution (figure 1c; electronic supplementary material, figure S4). The repeated evolution of hummingbird pollination syndrome in independent Gesnerioideae lineages centred in different geographical areas, such as the Brazilian Atlantic forest, Andes, Caribbean islands and Central America [21], is indicative of the success of this ecological interaction in multiple biomes of the Neotropics. We found also frequent state reversals from hummingbird to insect pollination syndrome (electronic supplementary material, table S4). Posterior distributions of extinction rate correspond to turnover and extinction fraction parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Speciation</th>
<th>Extinction</th>
<th>Transition</th>
<th>NP</th>
<th>LogL</th>
<th>AICc</th>
<th>$\delta$AICc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BISSSE</td>
<td>$\lambda_0 \neq \lambda_1$ and $\mu_0 = \mu_1$</td>
<td>$q_{01} \neq q_{10}$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>−2089.00</td>
<td>4188.10</td>
<td>10.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ClaSSE</td>
<td>$\lambda_{000} \neq \lambda_{111} \neq \lambda_{001} \neq \lambda_{100}$</td>
<td>$\mu_0 = \mu_1 = 0$</td>
<td>$q_{01} = q_{10}$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>−2083.94</td>
<td>4177.99</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Null model</td>
<td>$\lambda_{011} = 0$, $\lambda_{100} = 0$</td>
<td>$q_{01} \neq q_{10}$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>−2096.44</td>
<td>4202.98</td>
<td>25.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: Parameters $\lambda_{011}$ and $\lambda_{100}$ correspond to an insect-pollinated species that gives rise to two hummingbird-pollinated species, and vice versa. Parameters $\lambda_{000}$ and $\lambda_{111}$ correspond to an insect-pollinated species that gives rise to two insect-pollinated species, and vice versa for hummingbird-pollinated. Parameters $\lambda_{001}$ and $\lambda_{100}$ correspond to an insect-pollinated species that gives rise to one insect, and one hummingbird-pollinated species. CID2 is the described null model for trait-dependent diversification models in [47]. $\tau$ and $e$ correspond to turnover and extinction fraction parameters.
pollination syndrome providing evidence against the hypothesis that the evolution of hummingbird pollination could act as a dead end from which reversals to insect pollination are rare or no longer possible [14,56–58]. The convergent evolution of distinct floral morphologies and pigmentation in relatively short periods of time, as well as the reversibility of this system, are striking and encourage the investigation of whether a shared molecular basis might control these phenotypic transitions [59].

Flowers corresponding to the hummingbird pollination syndrome appeared in Gesnerioideae around 18.5 Ma (figure 2a), when hummingbirds were already present and diversifying in South America [28,55]. This rather early origin of hummingbird flowers, and the inferred south American origin of the Gesnerioideae [21], indicate that this plant group could be one of the oldest to have established interactions with the first hummingbirds living in the tropical regions of South America, unlike more recent hummingbird-adapted plant lineages [15,16,55,60]. This old interaction might have given the Gesnerioideae species enough time for the evolution of hummingbird pollination in separate lineages, and a substantial amount of transitions back to insect pollination.

(b) Effect of hummingbird pollination on plant diversification

Our finding of a preferred trait-dependent model indicates an effect of pollination syndromes in the diversification of the Gesnerioideae. A twofold increase in speciation rates for species with a hummingbird pollination compared with insect pollination syndrome suggests that floral morphologies associated with hummingbird pollinators may promote mechanisms that lead to the generation of new species. By contrast, speciation rates associated with shifts in pollination syndromes (i.e. between insect and hummingbird pollination syndromes) were between 20 and 80 times lower than those within pollination syndromes (electronic supplementary material, table S5). These results indicate that the classical pollinator-shift hypothesis driving plant speciation does not explain the Gesnerioideae diversification. Instead, species richness in this plant group has been driven by speciation within hummingbird-pollinated lineages, without involving shifts among functional groups of pollinators.

Why hummingbird pollination promotes plant speciation remains unclear, but we propose plausible mechanisms as a starting point for future research. First, the evolution of tubular or gullet-like flowers characterizing most hummingbird flowers may have directly accelerated speciation by promoting specialized relationships with the different categories of hummingbird species and the evolution of rapid prezygotic reproductive barriers [61,62]. Hummingbirds vary dramatically in bill size and shape, and these characteristics largely match with the flower morphology of the species they feed on [63–65]. Although most plant species are visited by several hummingbird species, specialization in the plant–pollinator network was found to increase at low and medium elevations [66], and in species-rich communities in which closely related hummingbirds visited distinct sets of flowering species [67]. Thus, floral specialization in hummingbird-pollinated species is frequently more specialized than initially assumed, which may result in greater potential for pollen segregation and, eventually, speciation. Second, flower specialization and specific pollen placement on the hummingbird body may limit interspecific pollen transfer among species sharing pollinators, thereby increasing the number of plant species that can co-occur in the same community [37,68,69]. It has been suggested that this process could decrease extinction rates [9], but also potentially increase the carrying capacity of hummingbird-pollinated lineages per unit of area, a factor that can limit the decline of diversification rates over time [70]. Finally, beyond floral specialization, bird pollination could have a direct impact on gene flow and the geography of plant speciation. Compared with insects, bird pollination increases the efficiency of pollen transfer and deposition [71], potentially affecting the connectivity among natural populations even in the context of a patchy distribution of suitable habitat [72]. Such improved transfer of pollen over long distances could enable the maintenance of isolated population providing the precondition for allopatric speciation. This has been suggested for the Andean species of Passiflora [73] and other hummingbird-pollinated lineages in the Gesneriaceae like Columnea and Dircaea [24,74]. Finally, and consistent with the last argument, hummingbird pollination is considered to be more efficient than insect pollination in Neotropical cloud forests at middle to high elevations, because insects are less active in cool, foggy, and wet conditions [75,76]. Altogether these patterns suggest that hummingbird-pollinated species could have more opportunities to colonize a wider geographical and climatic range, and to establish complex plant–pollinator interactions compared with insect-pollinated lineages. Although these hypotheses remain largely untested, such factors could potentially trigger diversification in angiosperm. Testing these hypotheses requires more complete morphological characterization of the plant species and plant–pollinator interaction data, to better understand how biotic interactions have shaped biodiversity and macro-evolutionary patterns in the Neotropical region.

Our study provides new insights into one of the most intriguing factors influencing the diversification of Neotropical plant lineages, namely the impact of hummingbird pollination. However, additional ecological factors should not be excluded to conduct holistic examinations as encouraged by recent studies [15,16]. The evolution of epiphytism and different growth forms, the colonization of new biomes, and the geological history have potentially influenced the diversification and distribution of Gesnerioideae [17]. Currently, factors are usually evaluated independently, making it difficult to test their joint effects [77], and they should be taken with precaution, for instance, in traits with very few evolutionary transitions (such as epiphytism in the species-rich Columneae clade). An optimal methodology should consider multiple factors simultaneously and allow a particular combination of those (i.e. colonization of a new area, with an in situ new trait state) to affect the diversification process (as discussed in [77,78]). Such approaches are, however, not yet available, and there is a current need to develop thoughtful tests for modelling simultaneously the success of multiple ecological interactions, considering the caveats of the methods and data, while integrating global biodiversity patterns.

5. Conclusion

We identified a strong and positive effect of hummingbird pollination syndrome on the process of species diversification in the subfamily Gesnerioideae. This effect was probably triggered by the repeated acquisition of hummingbird pollination
data and additional details of the approaches used in this paper are available in the electronic supplementary material and at Dryad Digital Repository [79]. R script available from www2.unil.ch/phylo/files/software/make.simmap.BisSE.R.
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when this pollination niche became available in South America during the Early Miocene. Plants with a hummingbird pollination syndrome have a twofold increase in the rate of speciation, suggesting a positive effect of hummingbird pollination on the establishment of reproductive isolation. Our findings complement the global understanding of the diversification processes leading to the exceptional diversity of flowering plants in the Neotropics, and provide new directions towards further testing the role played by plant–pollinator relationships in the build-up of plant diversity.
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